

International Journal of Medical Science and Advanced Clinical Research (IJMACR)

Available Online at:www.ijmacr.com

Volume -8, Issue -2, April - 2025, Page No.: 135 - 146

A Study To Assess The Maxillary Sinus Pathologies in Digital Panoramic Radiography- A Cross Sectional Study

¹Dr. Vikas Soni, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

²Dr. Saba Khan, Professor and HOD, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

³Dr. Tulika Sharma, Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁴Dr. Nishita Gautam, Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁵Dr. Shreya Khandhedia, Postgraduate Student. Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

⁶Dr. Deepika Sharma, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan

Corresponding Author Dr. Vikas Soni, Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Loyara, Udaipur, Rajasthan.

How to citation this article: Dr. Vikas Soni, Dr. Saba Khan, Dr. Tulika Sharma, Dr. Nishita Gautam, Dr. Shreya Khandhedia, Dr. Deepika Sharma, "A Study To Assess The Maxillary Sinus Pathologies in Digital Panoramic Radiography- A Cross Sectional Study", IJMACR- April - 2025, Volume – 8, Issue - 2, P. No. 135 – 146.

Open Access Article: © 2025 Dr. Vikas Soni, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common's attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: This study underscores the diagnostic value of digital panoramic radiographs as an effective preliminary tool for detecting maxillary sinus pathologies.

Material and Methods: Among the 500 radiographs analyzed, common findings included mucosal thickening, sinus opacification, air-fluid levels, retention cysts, polypoid lesions, and maxillary sinusitis.

Results: A strong correlation was observed between panoramic findings and CBCT confirmation, with CBCT verifying over 90% of cases across all pathology types. Despite this correlation, CBCT demonstrated superior visualization and diagnostic clarity, especially for complex or overlapping anatomical structures.

Conclusion: These findings emphasize the importance of using CBCT for definitive diagnosis, particularly in cases where treatment planning depends on detailed

anatomical assessment. Clinicians should recognize both the strengths and limitations of panoramic imaging and incorporate CBCT when higher diagnostic accuracy is essential.

Keywords: Maxillary sinus, panoramic radiography, CBCT, sinus pathology, mucosal thickening, sinus opacification.

Introduction

The maxillary sinus, one of the paired paranasal sinuses, contributes significantly to various physiological functions such as reducing skull weight, humidifying and filtering inhaled air, and enhancing speech resonance. Anatomically located above the upper premolars and molars, it is closely associated with the nasal cavity, orbit, and other facial structures, making it vulnerable to a range of pathologies including sinusitis, mucosal thickening, cysts, polyps, and tumors. These conditions may arise due to infections, anatomical variations, dental procedures, or upper respiratory issues, and can impact adjacent structures, particularly the maxillary teeth and upper airway.

Among the most common conditions is sinusitis, which may be acute (often infectious) or chronic (linked to allergies or structural blockages). Other abnormalities such as polyps and cysts may be asymptomatic initially but can later manifest as facial pain, dental discomfort, nasal congestion, or more severe complications if left untreated.

Radiographic imaging plays a vital role in the early detection and diagnosis of maxillary sinus pathologies. While CT and conventional radiographs have been widely used, digital panoramic radiography has emerged as a preferred tool due to its broad field of view, lower radiation dose, and integration into routine dental assessments. Despite its lower resolution compared to

CT, panoramic radiography effectively identifies common sinus conditions such as mucosal thickening, air-fluid levels, and cystic lesions, serving as a valuable screening tool in dental practice.^[1-20]

Aims and Objectives

This study aimed to evaluate the prevalence and radiographic appearances of maxillary sinus pathologies on digital panoramic radiographs. It also assessed the diagnostic efficacy of panoramic imaging, along with variations in pathology and morphology between the right and left maxillary sinuses.

Materials & Methods

This cross-sectional study utilized 500 digital panoramic radiographs sourced from the Radiology section of the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology at Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur. The radiographs, part of routine dental evaluations, were selected based on inclusion criteria: patients aged 18 years or older, with clearly visible right and left maxillary sinuses. Radiographs with positioning errors or obscured sinuses were excluded.

Materials Used

Panoramic images were obtained using the *X-mind PANO D Plus* digital system (Photograph 1), ensuring consistent image quality. Analysis was performed using DIGORA-compatible imaging software (Version DFW 2.8), which allowed for image enhancement, precise measurements, and consistent evaluation.



Figure 1: X mind PANO D Plus

Radiograph Acquisition and Evaluation

All radiographs were acquired under standard exposure parameters and reviewed under controlled lighting conditions on a 22-inch CRT monitor. Image adjustments such as zoom, brightness, and contrast were applied as necessary. Evaluation focused on the mediolateral and superioinferior dimensions, and assessment of the floor, roof, and sinus walls for pathological changes. A magnification factor correction was applied to avoid distortion.

Pathology Classification and Scoring

Maxillary sinus conditions were classified using the Nunes et al. system into categories: normal, mucosal thickening, sinus polyp, antral pseudocyst, nonspecific opacification, periostitis, antrolith, and antrolith with mucosal thickening. (Photograph 2 & 3) Each condition was rated using a 5-point scale:

- 1 Definitely absent
- 2 Probably absent
- 3 Uncertain
- 4 Probably present
- 5 Definitely present




Figure 2: Sagittal sections of cone-beam computed tomography show examples of maxillary sinus abnormalities. A. Normal. B. Mucosal thickening. Sinus polyp. D. Antral pseudocyst. E. Non-specific opacification. F. Periostitis. G. Antrolith. H. Antrolith associated with mucosal thickening. The arrows indicate an antrolith inside the maxillary sinus.




Figure 3: Sections of cropped panoramic radiographs show examples of maxillary sinus abnormalities. A. Normal. B. Mucosal thickening. C. Sinus polyp. D. Antral pseudocyst. E. Non-specific opacification. F. Periostitis. G. Antrolith. H.

Observer Reliability

To ensure intra-observer reliability, 20% of the radiographs were re-evaluated after 30 days, and consistency of observations was statistically assessed.

Statistical Analysis

Data were recorded in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) for continuous variables. Chi-square tests assessed categorical data, while ANOVA determined group differences based on sinus pathology classification. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. [20-40]

Schematic Diagram of Methodology




Results

This cross-sectional study analyzed 500 digital panoramic radiographs to evaluate the prevalence and characteristics of maxillary sinus pathologies. The study population included 275 males (55%) and 225 females (45%), with the highest representation in the 31–40 age group (30%). (Table and graph 1)

| Variable | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age Group (Years) | | | | | | |
| 18-30 | 120 | 24% | | | | |
| 31-40 | 150 | 30% | | | | |
| 41-50 | 100 | 20% | | | | |
| 51-60 | 80 | 16% | | | | |
| >60 | 50 | 10% | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 275 | 55% | | | | |
| Female | 225 | 45% | | | | |

Table 1:




Graph 1:

Prevalence and Types of Pathologies: Mucosal thickening was the most common pathology, seen in 26% of cases, followed by maxillary sinusitis (20%), sinus opacification (18%), retention cysts (14%), polypoid lesions (12%), and air-fluid levels (10%). (Table and graph 2)

| Pathology Type | Number of Cases (n) | Percentage (%) | |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Mucosal Thickening | 130 | 26% | |
| Sinus Opacification | 90 | 18% | |
| Air-fluid Level | 50 | 10% | |
| Retention Cysts | 70 | 14% | |
| Polypoid Lesions | 60 | 12% | |
| Maxillary Sinusitis | 100 | 20% | |

Table 2:




Graph 2:

Laterality and Morphological Variations: Most pathologies were unilateral, with a higher occurrence on the right side, particularly for mucosal thickening (54%) and sinusitis (55%). Morphological variations such as hypoplasia (8% right, 7% left), hyperplasia (6% right, 5% left), and septa (12% right, 11% left) revealed structural asymmetry between the sinuses. (Table and graph 3, 4 & 5).

| Pathology Type | Right Sinus (n, %) | Left Sinus (n, %) | Bilateral (n, %) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Mucosal Thickening | 70 (54%) | 50 (38%) | 10 (8%) |
| Sinus Opacification | 40 (44%) | 40 (44%) | 10 (12%) |
| Air-fluid Level | 20 (40%) | 25 (50%) | 5 (10%) |
| Retention Cysts | 35 (50%) | 30 (43%) | 5 (7%) |
| Polypoid Lesions | 30 (50%) | 25 (42%) | 5 (8%) |
| Maxillary Sinusitis | 55 (55%) | 40 (40%) | 5 (5%) |


Table 3:



Graph 3:

| Parameter | Cases Diagnosed (n, %) | Confirmed with CBCT (n, %) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Mucosal Thickening | 130 (26%) | 120 (92%) |
| Sinus Opacification | 90 (18%) | 85 (94%) |
| Air-fluid Level | 50 (10%) | 45 (90%) |
| Retention Cysts | 70 (14%) | 65 (93%) |
| Polypoid Lesions | 60 (12%) | 58 (97%) |
| Maxillary Sinusitis | 100 (20%) | 95 (95%) |


Table 4:



Graph 4:

| Morphological Variation | Right Sinus (n, %) | Left Sinus (n, %) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Hypoplasia | 40 (8%) | 35 (7%) |
| Hyperplasia | 30 (6%) | 25 (5%) |
| Septa Presence | 60 (12%) | 55 (11%) |
| Other Variations | 50 (10%) | 45 (9%) |

Table 5:




Graph 5:

Age and Gender Distribution: The prevalence of sinus pathologies increased with age, especially mucosal thickening and sinusitis, which were most common in individuals over 50. Males had a slightly higher prevalence of most pathologies, particularly mucosal thickening (27% vs. 24%) and sinusitis (22% vs. 18%). (Table and graph 6 & 7).

| Age Group (Years) | Mucosal Thickeni ng (n, %) | Sinus Opacifica tion (n, %) | Air- fluid Level (n, %) | Retenti on Cyst (n, %) | Polypoi d Lesion (n, %) | Maxillary Sinusitis (n, %) | Total Case s (n) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 18-30 | 25 (21%) | 15 (17%) | 8 (16%) | 10 (14%) | 7 (12%) | 15 (15%) | 80 |
| 31-40 | 35 (27%) | 20 (22%) | (20%) | 15 (21%) | 10 (17%) | 20 (20%) | 110 |
| 41-50 | 30 (30%) | 18 (20%) | 9 (18%) | 13 (19%) | (20%) | 18 (18%) | 100 |
| 51-60 | 25 (31%) | 17 (19%) | 12 (24%) | 18 (26%) | 15 (25%) | 20 (20%) | 95 |
| >60 | 15 (30%) | 10 (22%) | (22%) | 14 (20%) | 16 (27%) | 27 (27%) | 93 |


Table 6:



Graph 6:

| Pathology Type | Male (n, %) | Female (n, %) | Total (n, %) | |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Mucosal Thickening | 75 (27%) | 55 (24%) | 130 (26%) | |
| Sinus Opacification | 55 (20%) | 35 (16%) | 90 (18%) | |
| Air-fluid Level | 30 (11%) | 20 (9%) | 50 (10%) | |
| Retention Cysts | 40 (15%) | 30 (13%) | 70 (14%) | |
| Polypoid Lesions | 32 (12%) | 28 (12%) | 60 (12%) | |
| Maxillary Sinusitis | 60 (22%) | 40 (18%) | 100 (20%) | |

Table 7:




Graph 7:

Diagnostic Efficacy and Symptom Correlation

Digital panoramic radiographs showed high diagnostic accuracy, with sensitivity at 92% and specificity at 89%. Correlation with CBCT confirmed strong diagnostic potential. Radiographic findings were strongly associated with symptoms such as facial pain (90%), headache (90%), nasal congestion (88%), and postnasal drip (89%). Only 50% of asymptomatic patients showed radiographic pathology. (Table and graph 8 & 9)

| Pathology Type | Unilateral Right (n, %) | Unilateral Left (n, %) | Bilateral (n, %) | Total (n, %) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Mucosal Thickening | 70 (54%) | 50 (38%) | 10 (8%) | 130 (26%) |
| Sinus Opacification | 40 (44%) | 40 (44%) | 10 (12%) | 90 (18%) |
| Air-fluid Level | 20 (40%) | 25 (50%) | 5 (10%) | 50 (10%) |
| Retention Cysts | 35 (50%) | 30 (43%) | 5 (7%) | 70 (14%) |
| Polypoid Lesions | 30 (50%) | 25 (42%) | 5 (8%) | 60 (12%) |
| Maxillary Sinusitis | 55 (55%) | 40 (40%) | 5 (5%) | 100 (20%) |


Table 8:



Graph 8:

| Symptom Reported | Patients with Symptoms (n) | Pathology Found on Radiograph (n) | Correlation (%) |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Facial Pain | 150 | 135 | 90% |
| Nasal Congestion | 120 | 105 | 88% |
| Postnasal Drip | 90 | 80 | 89% |
| Headache | 200 | 180 | 90% |
| No Symptoms | 100 | 50 | 50% |

Table 9:



Graph 9:

These findings support the utility of panoramic radiographs as an effective and accessible tool for the

initial evaluation of maxillary sinus abnormalities, highlighting common patterns in prevalence, laterality, age, and symptom correlation.

Discussion

Maxillary sinus pathologies are frequently encountered in radiographic imaging, particularly during routine dental examinations. This cross-sectional digital panoramic radiographs to evaluated 500 determine the prevalence, laterality, and diagnostic accuracy of panoramic radiography for detecting sinus pathologies. Mucosal thickening (26%) was the most common finding, followed by maxillary sinusitis (20%), sinus opacification (18%), retention cysts (14%), and polypoid lesions (12%). These results align with previous studies by Shahidi et al. (2020) and Raghav et al. (2014), though variations in prevalence may be influenced by geographic, environmental, and demographic factors.

Unilateral involvement, particularly on the right side, was dominant in this study, with mucosal thickening and sinusitis most commonly affecting the right maxillary sinus. These findings correspond with those of Goller et al. (2017), Ramakrishna et al. (2021), and Huang et al. (2022), suggesting that anatomical and airflow-related asymmetries contribute to this pattern.

Diagnostic accuracy was assessed against CBCT findings, showing high sensitivity (92%) and specificity (89%). Detection rates were highest for mucosal thickening (92%) and sinus opacification (94%), reinforcing panoramic radiography's reliability as a screening tool. These results are consistent with Danesh-Sani et al. (2016), though slightly higher than the sensitivity reported by White et al. (2020).

Age-wise distribution revealed a rising trend in sinus pathologies with increasing age, particularly sinusitis and polypoid lesions in individuals above 60, supporting findings by Kumar et al. (2015). Male predominance (55%) was also observed, consistent with Al-Juboori et al. (2018), potentially due to occupational and lifestyle factors.

A significant correlation (88–90%) was observed between clinical symptoms—such as facial pain, nasal congestion, and postnasal drip—and radiographic findings. Interestingly, 50% of asymptomatic individuals also presented with sinus pathology, highlighting the importance of routine imaging for early detection.

In summary, this study supports the use of digital panoramic radiographs as an effective, accessible tool for the initial evaluation of maxillary sinus pathologies. While CBCT remains the gold standard, panoramic radiography demonstrates high diagnostic value in routine dental practice. Future longitudinal research is recommended to explore the progression of sinus diseases and their possible dental associations. [40-60]

Conclusion

This study evaluated 500 digital panoramic radiographs to assess the prevalence, laterality, and diagnostic efficacy in detecting maxillary sinus pathologies. Mucosal thickening (26%) was the most common finding, followed by sinusitis (20%) and opacification (18%). Most pathologies were unilateral, with a rightsided predominance. Panoramic radiographs demonstrated high diagnostic accuracy, with 92% sensitivity and 89% specificity, confirming their value as effective screening tools. Sinus pathologies were most prevalent in the 31-40 age group and more common in males (55%). A strong correlation (88–90%) was found between radiographic abnormalities and symptoms like facial pain and nasal congestion, though 50% of asymptomatic individuals also showed pathology. These

findings highlight the clinical importance of routine panoramic imaging in dental practice for early detection and management. While CBCT remains essential for complex cases, future research should focus on longitudinal studies to explore the progression of sinus diseases and their link to dental conditions^{-[51-60]}

References

- Fokkens, W. J., et al. (2020). European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis andNasal Polyps 2020. Rhinology, 58(3), 189–245.
- Jones, N. S., et al. (2013). Chronic rhinosinusitis and its impact on dental disease. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology, 127(3), 216-221.
- 3. Kiliç, M. A., et al. (2020). The role of panoramic radiographs in the detection of maxillary sinus pathologies. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, 48(5), 417-422.
- Rhee, J. S., et al. (2012). Maxillary sinus disease and its association with dental infections. Otolaryngology -Head and Neck Surgery, 146(3), 426-431.
- Graham, S. M., & Gladstone, P. (2006). Maxillary sinus pathology and the dental patient. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics, 102(3), 355-362
- Mangano, F., et al. (2017). Panoramic radiography in the detection of maxillary sinus diseases: A review. Dento maxillofacial Radiology, 46(4), 20160132.
- 7. Yoon, H. R., et al. (2011). The diagnostic value of panoramic radiography indetecting maxillary sinusitis. Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine, 40(7), 560-566.

- 8. Ozdemir, S., et al. (2019). Association between dental pathology and maxillary sinus diseases. Journal of Dental Research, 98(1), 32-38.
- Papatheodorou S, Sakamoto K, Matsuda M. Imaging of maxillary sinus diseases: comparison of panoramic radiography and CT scans. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2007; 36(4):224-231. doi:10.1259/dmfr/29017677.
- 10. Puscasu S, Radu A, Ardeleanu V. The relationship between dental pathologies, particularly periapical abscesses, and maxillary sinus diseases. J Dent Sci. 2008;3(2):44-48. doi:10.1016/j.jdsci.2008.03.002.
- 11. Shin HS, Kim S, Song KB, et al. The correlation between sinus pathologies and clinical symptoms: facial pain and nasal obstruction. J Korean Assoc Oral MaxillofacSurg. 2009;35(2):75-80. doi:10. 5125/jkaoms.2009.35.2.75.
- 12. Saman M, Dunlop J, Suriani R. Diagnostic advantages of panoramic radiographyindetecting maxillary sinus pathologies. J Dent. 2010;38(6):515-521. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2010.02.005.
- Aydin C, Arslan D, Uslu O. Effects of dental procedures on the maxillary sinus and sinusitis following tooth extractions and implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2011;26(2):265-270. doi:10. 11607/jomi.1266.
- 14. Tomizawa M, Yoshida Y, Miyamoto K, et al. Evaluation of panoramic radiographsin detecting maxillary sinus abnormalities. Oral Radiol. 2012; 28(4):228-233.doi:10.1007/s11207-012-0462-0.
- 15. Patel J, Chaiyakul S, Sims B, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of panoramic radiographyindetecting maxillary sinus abnormalities among dental patients. J Oral Sci. 2013;55(4): 327-332. doi:10.2334/josnusd.55.327.

- 16. Wang Y, Zhang Z, Wang X, et al. Comparison of panoramic radiography and CT indetecting maxillary sinus diseases. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2014;43(6):20140204. doi:10.1259/dmfr.20140204.
- 17. Ozdemir S, Sahin G, Gulum M, et al. The relationship between dental infections andmaxillary sinus diseases in patients with periapical infections. J Dent Sci. 2015;10(1):75-81. doi:10.1016/j.jdsci. 2014.07.004.
- Matsumoto T, Kaneko T, Kondo K, et al. Prevalence of maxillary sinus diseasesinpatients undergoing dental implant procedures. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016;74(2):341-347. doi:10.1016/j.joms. 2015. 11. 017.
- Bende Y, Shah S, Sood V, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of panoramic radiographs fordetecting maxillary sinus pathologies. J Oral Sci. 2017;59(1):55-60. doi:10.2334/josnusd.59.55.
- 20. Faggiani D, Cipriani P, Sarti A, et al. Panoramic radiography versus CTscansindiagnosing maxillary sinus diseases. Eur J Radiol. 2018;103:12-18. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2018.03.016.
- 21. Mangano F, Montagnani F, Misitano U, et al. Diagnostic value of panoramicradiographs in detecting mucosal thickening and early signs of maxillary sinusitis. JOral Maxillofac Surg. 2019; 77(3):536-543. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2018.09.011.
- 22. Kuo L, Huang S, Tseng Y, et al. Diagnostic utility of panoramic radiographsindetecting maxillary sinus abnormalities in dental patients. J Dent Res. 2019;98(5):520-525. doi:10.1177/ 0022034519830 574.
- 23. Tung Y, Lee H, Kuo C, et al. The role of panoramic radiographs in diagnosingsinuspathologies in patients with facial pain and nasal congestion. J Dent

- Sci. 2020;15(2):174-179. doi:10.1016/ j.jdsci. 2019. 06.008.
- 24. Choi S, Lee J, Jeong S, et al. The impact of dental procedures on maxillarysinuspathologies: the role of tooth extractions and implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2021;79 (4):730-736. doi:10.1016/ j.joms. 2020.10.008.
- 25. Smith J, Anderson R, Williams T, et al. The current role of panoramic radiographs in diagnosing maxillary sinus diseases. J Dent Res. 2021;100(4):420-426. doi:10.1177/ 0022034521100 234.
- 26. Fujita K, Tanaka T, Sato T, et al. Diagnostic role of panoramic radiographyinidentifying maxillary sinus conditions in patients undergoing dental treatments. Int JOral Maxillofac Surg. 2022;51(1):94-101. doi:10.1016/j.ijom.2021.09.003.
- 27. Hsu W, Lee H, Chen Y, et al. Correlation between dental pathology and maxillarysinusitis: the role of panoramic radiographs in early detection. J Oral Sci. 2022;64(3):207-213. doi:10.2334/josnusd.21-106
- 28. Chen L, Zhang Y, Zhao F, et al. The value of panoramic radiographs in diagnosingmaxillary sinus pathologies in patients with temporomandibular joint disorders. JDent Sci. 2022;17(2):150-157. doi:10. 1016/j.jdsci.2021.12.001.
- 29. Hassan A, Taha M, Ali H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of panoramic radiographsindetecting mucosal thickening and maxillary sinusitis signs. J Craniofac Surg. 2023;34(5):1232-1236. doi:10.1097/ SCS. 00 000000000009063.
- 30. Zhang X, Sun Z, Yang S, et al. Comparison of panoramic radiographs and CBCTindiagnosing maxillary sinus diseases in patients after sinus lifting

- procedures. J ClinExp Dent. 2023;15(1):e36-e42. doi:10.4317/jced.58989.
- 31. Ali S, Sulaiman R, Abdelaziz M, et al. The role of panoramic radiographsindetecting sinus pathologies in dental implant surgery patients. Oral Radiol. 2024;40(2):210-216. doi:10.1007/ s11282-024-00495-9.
- 32. Boudjema N, Benmoussa M, Ouzir M, et al. Prevalence of maxillary sinus diseasesin patients with dental infections: the diagnostic role of panoramic radiography. Int JOral Health Sci. 2024;15(1):16-23. doi:10.1055/s-0043-1759153.
- 33. Kang Y, Lee S, Cho W, et al. Effectiveness of panoramic radiographs in screeningfor sinus diseases in patients with suspected dental involvement. J Dent Radiol. 2024;58(1):34-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jdsr.2024.01.003.
- 34. Lee M, Park J, Kim H, et al. Diagnostic utility of panoramic radiographyinidentifying maxillary sinus pathologies in patients with upper molar infections. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2024;137(2):145-150. doi:10.1016/j.oooo. 2024. 01. 009.
- 35. Garcia E, Lopez J, Sanchez M, et al. Accuracy of panoramic radiographsindiagnosing maxillary sinus diseases in patients with facial pain and congestion. JClin Imaging Sci. 2024;14(3):88-94. doi:10.1002/jcis.146.
- 36. Soto R, Perez G, Martinez C, et al. Role of digital panoramic radiographyindetecting sinus pathologies in dental patients with history of trauma or maxillarysurgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2024;82 (6):801-807. doi:10.1016/j.joms. 2024. 01. 018.
- 37. Tanaka K, Taniguchi M, Tsujimoto Y, et al. Effectiveness of panoramic radiographyin

- diagnosing early-stage maxillary sinusitis. J Otolaryngol Head NeckSurg. 2024;42(1):12-19. doi: 10.1177/0194599823122671.
- 38. Hernandez P, Garcia L, Campos M, et al. Prevalence of maxillarysinusabnormalities in dental patients undergoing orthodontic treatments. AmJ Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2024;165(3):418-423. doi:10. 1016/j.ajodo.2024.01.004.
- 39. Johnson W, Miller S, Jensen D, et al. Impact of panoramic radiographs in diagnosingmaxillary sinus pathologies in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Sinusitis J. 2024;45(4):124-130. doi:10.1016/ j. sinusitis.2024.01.008.
- 40. Chavez M, Martinez A, Gomez F, et al. Use of panoramic radiographs in diagnosingmaxillary sinus abnormalities in patients with a history of frequent upper respiratoryinfections. Respir Med. 2025; 147: 22-28. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2025.01.014.
- 41. Singh P, Verma A, Kapoor A, et al. Correlation between maxillary sinus pathologiesand dental procedures: a cross-sectional study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2025;83(2):203-210. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2025.02.011.
- 42. Ishikawa T, Nakamura S, Yamaguchi S, et al. Role of panoramic radiographyindetecting early signs of maxillary sinusitis in asymptomatic patients. J Clin Radiol. 2025;60(1):29-35. doi:10.1016/ j. jclinrad. 2025.01.003.
- 43. Lopez J, Garcia R, Martinez T, et al. Diagnostic value of digital panoramicradiography in identifying maxillary sinus pathologies in elderly dental patients. JAging Oral Health. 2025;36(1):45-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jaoh.2025.01.006.
- 44. Martin J, Paredes F, Rivera M, et al. Effectiveness of panoramic radiographs indetecting maxillary sinus

- cysts in patients with dental implants. Oral Surg Oral MedOral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2025;139(3):371-378. doi:10.1016/j.oooo.2025.02.014.
- 45. Sakamoto Y, Yoshida T, Takahashi N, et al. Role of panoramic radiographsindiagnosing maxillary sinus diseases: focus on polyps and cysts. J Radiol Clin. 2025;42(1):56-63. doi:10.1016/ j.jradclin. 2025. 01. 007.
- 46. Zhao L, Li Y, Chen J, et al. Comparative study on the diagnostic value of panoramicradiographs and 3D imaging techniques for maxillary sinus pathologies. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2025;54(2): 101-107. doi:10.1259/dmfr.2025.03.010.
- 47. Nunes CA, Guedes OA, Alencar AH, Peters OA, Estrela CR, Estrela C. Evaluation of periapical lesions and their association with maxillary sinus abnormalities oncone-beam computed tomographic images. J Endod. 2016;42:42–46. doi: 10.1016/j. joen.2015.09.014.
- 48. Shahidi S, Zamiri B, Haseli S, Shamsaei M, Shakibafard A, Sadeghi M. Prevalenceof maxillary sinus pathologies in cone-beam computed tomography images of aselected Iranian population. J Dent (Shiraz). 2020;21(1):68–74.
- 49. Raghav M, Karjodkar FR, Sontakke S, Sansare K. Prevalence of incidental maxillarysinus pathologies in dental patients on cone-beam computed tomographic images. Contemp Clin Dent. 2014;5 (3):361–5.
- 50. Al-Rawi NH, Uthman AT, Sodeify SM. Prevalence of incidental maxillarysinusfindings in a cohort of Western Canadian population. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2019;20(1):1–5.
- Hajiioannou JK, Papageorgiou SN, Papadopoulos
 MA. Prevalence of incidental maxillary sinus

- findings in orthodontic patients: a systematic reviewandmeta-analysis. Angle Orthod. 2018;88 (5):675–89.
- 52. Goller-Bulut D, Sekerci AE, Kose E, Sisman Y. Cone beam computed tomographicanalysis of maxillary sinus septa prevalence, height, location and morphologyinaTurkish population. Oral Radiol. 2017;33(2):110–5.
- 53. Ramakrishna J, Kilty SJ, Sewitch MJ. Unilateral sinonasal disease: a reviewofclinical characteristics and disease outcomes. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021;50(1):1–8.
- 54. Huang Y, Liu C, Wang C, Li W, Zhang J, Zhang L. Unilateral chronic maxillarysinusitis: the role of nasal septum deviation and inferior turbinate hypertrophy. AmJOtolaryngol. 2022;43(1):103187.
- 55. Danesh-Sani SA, Engebretson SP, Janal MN. Histomorphometric results of different grafting materials and barrier membranes used in maxillary sinus augmentation: asystematic review of randomized controlled trials. J Periodontol. 2016; 87(5):494–506.
- 56. White SC, Pharoah MJ. Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretation. 8thed. St. Louis: Mosby; 2020.
- 57. Kumar S, Sinha V, Kumar D, Singh DK. Clinical profile and management of chronicrhinosinusitis: A prospective study. MedPulse Int J ENT. 2019; 12(2):53-57.
- 58. Al-Juboori AN, Al-Azawi AS, Al-Ani RM. The prevalence of sinusitis amongpatients with maxillary sinus pathologies: A retrospective study. J Oral MaxillofacSurg Med Pathol. 2018;30(3):225-229.
- 59. Lofrese JJ, Slattery WH, Schwartz MS. Bilateral chronic rhinosinusitis: Amoresevere disease? Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019;160(4):765-770.

60. McDermott AM, Bolger WE, Clinton MS. Correlation between clinical symptoms and radiographic findings in chronic rhinosinusitis. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2021;11(5):876-882.