

A Comparative Diagnostic Validity of Two Colposcopic Indices-Reids Index and Swede Score with Cervical Histology

¹Dr. Laviniya, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Perambalur.

²R. Thilaga, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Perambalur.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Laviniya, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Perambalur

How to citation this article: Dr. Laviniya, R. Thilaga, “A Comparative Diagnostic Validity of Two Colposcopic Indices-Reids Index and Swede Score with Cervical Histology”, IJMACR- December - 2025, Volume – 8, Issue - 6, P. No. 105 – 114.

Open Access Article: © 2025 Dr. Laviniya, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common’s attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>). Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Introduction: The cervical cancer is the third most common Cancer worldwide and the second most common in India. Since it has a long lag time from preinvasive stage to invasive stage, it is the duty to prevent by proper screening and treatment at the right time.

Aim of the Study

1. To do histopathological analysis of colposcopically directed biopsies.
2. To compare and correlate Colposcopy and cytology with histopathology.
3. To critically evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of Colposcopy indices REIDS vs SWEDE score in the early detection of high-grade lesions in cervix.
4. To perform direct excisional procedure as “see and treat” method at a specified cut off of the score which denotes high grade lesions.

5. To reduce the number of visits and follow ups for better compliance.

Materials and Methods: All patients with age group 20 to 59 years with abnormal screening test are selected for the study with the sample size of 167. Relevant information, detailed history regarding past history regarding past history, marital history, obstetric history are recorded using preformed proforma. Oral and written consent are obtained. Colposcopy will be done for the patients of study and the visualization of cervix was done under magnification and findings were noted. The margins of the atypical cervical epithelium were graded accordingly and scores will be allotted as per both REIDS index and SWEDE score. Colposcopy directed biopsy from abnormal areas were taken. Patient will be explained about nature of study and informed consent is obtained. The results will be scored by statistical methods.

Conclusion: Based on the study, it was concluded that both scores-REIDS COLPOSCOPIC INDEX and SWEDE SCORE performed well in this hospital based study on a selected population referred to colposcopic clinic. From the present study, it is evident that SWEDE score of 8 or more has good specificity when compared to the REIDS COLPOSCOPIC INDEX and can be used for performing direct excisional procedure as a “see and treat” method at this cutoff. Hence LESION SIZE parameter included in SWEDE SCORE act as a good predictor of high-grade lesions.

This may be the preferred method for the management of high grade CIN because it reduces the number of visits and failure to receive treatment.

The main strength of the study is that biopsies were taken for all subjects irrespective of the presence or absence of lesion in colposcopy, eliminating the verification bias.

Keywords: Colposcopy, Cervicitis, CIN, Carcinoma Cervix, High Grade Lesion, Low Grade Lesion

Introduction

Background: The cervical cancer is the third most common Cancer worldwide and the second most common in India. Since it has a long lag time from preinvasive stage to invasive stage, it is the duty to prevent by proper screening and treatment at the right time.

Aim of the Study

1. To do histopathological analysis of colposcopically directed biopsies.
2. To compare and correlate Colposcopy and cytology with histopathology.
3. To critically evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of Colposcopy indices REIDS vs SWEDE score in the early detection of high-grade lesions in cervix.

4. To perform direct excisional procedure as “see and treat” method at a specified cut off of the score which denotes high grade lesions.
5. To reduce the number of visits and follow ups for better compliance.

Materials and Methods: All patients with age group 20 to 59 years with abnormal screening test are selected for the study with the sample size of 167. Relevant information, detailed history regarding past history regarding past history, marital history, obstetric history are recorded using preformed proforma. Oral and written consent are obtained. Colposcopy will be done for the patients of study and the visualization of cervix was done under magnification and findings were noted. The margins of the atypical cervical epithelium were graded accordingly and scores will be allotted as per both REIDS index and SWEDE score. Colposcopy directed biopsy from abnormal areas were taken. Patient will be explained about nature of study and informed consent is obtained. The results will be scored by statistical methods.

Inclusion Criteria

1. All patients of age group 30-59 who are screening positive VIA/VILI positive /Pap smear positive.
2. Persistent inflammatory smears.
3. Unhealthy looking cervix.
4. Postcoital bleeding.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Obvious growth
2. Previous procedure on cervix
3. Pregnant women
4. Severe debilitating disease.

Results and Analysis

Table 1: Age Distribution among Study Groups:

| Age Distribution | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| 20-30 Years | 13 | 7.7 |
| 31-40 Years | 45 | 26.9 |
| 41-50 Years | 59 | 35.3 |
| 51-60 Years | 50 | 29.9 |
| Total | 167 | 100 |

Among the 167 women, 7.7% (13/167) were between 20-30years. 45 women were between 31-40years. 35% (59/167) belonged to the age group of 41-50years. 29% women belonged to 51-60years. As age increases, incidence of CIN also increases. The incidence of CIN is higher among the age group of 41-60 in our study.

Table 2: Mean Age of the Study Group

| | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD |
|-----|-----|---------|---------|------|-----|
| AGE | 167 | 25 | 60 | 44.6 | 9.5 |

In the present study the total study was done in 167 patients with a maximum age of 60 and minimum age of 25. The mean age was 44.9 and standard deviation was 9.5

Table 3: Cytology Distribution among Study Groups

| Cytology | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| Chronic Cervicitis | 86 | 51.4 |
| CIN I | 3 | 1.7 |
| CIN II | 8 | 4.7 |
| CIN III | 15 | 8.9 |
| Carcinoma | 55 | 32.9 |
| Total | 167 | 100 |

Among the study groups, 86 were found to have chronic cervicitis in biopsy report, 3 had CIN I, 8 (4.7%) had CIN II, 15 (8.9%) had CIN III, 55 (32.9%) had carcinoma which correlates with our scores.

Table 4: Swede distribution among study groups

| Swede | Frequency | Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|
| 0-4 | 77 | 46.1 |
| 5-6 | 11 | 6.58 |
| 7-10 | 79 | 47.3 |
| Total | 167 | 100 |

Table 5: Distribution of Subjects at Different Values of Swede Colposcopic Score (N=167)

| Score | Frequency | Chronic Cervicitis | CIN I | CIN II | CIN III | Carcinoma |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 2 | 14 | 14 | | | | |
| 3 | 39 | 39 | | | | |
| 4 | 24 | 24 | | | | |
| 5 | 7 | 6 | | 1 | | |
| 6 | 4 | 2 | | 2 | | |
| 7 | 10 | | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 8 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| 9 | 33 | | | | 6 | 27 |
| 10 | 17 | | | | | 17 |
| Total | 167 | 86 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 55 |

Findings of colposcopic examination were scored according to SWEDE score. Out of 167 women, 78 had high grade lesions. Based on the SWEDE score, it was 55 out of 167 would have carcinoma. Among the SWEDE Score (5 or more), 3 would have CIN I lesion, 78 have high grade lesions.

Table 6: RCI distribution among study groups

| RCI | Frequency | Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|
| 1-2 | 44 | 26.34 |
| 3-4 | 39 | 23.35 |
| 5-8 | 84 | 50.29 |
| TOTAL | 167 | 100 |

Table 7: Distribution of subjects among different values of REIDS Colposcopic Index (N=167)

| Score | Frequency | Chronic Cervicitis | CIN I | CIN II | CIN III | Carcinoma |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|
| 1 | 6 | 6 | | | | |
| 2 | 38 | 38 | | | | |
| 3 | 24 | 24 | | | | |
| 4 | 15 | 14 | | 1 | | |
| 5 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| 6 | 18 | 2 | 1 | | 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 17 | | | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| 8 | 40 | | | 2 | 2 | 36 |
| Total | 167 | 86 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 55 |

Findings of colposcopic examination were scored according to REIDS COLPOSCOPIC INDEX. Of the 167 women, 78 had high grade lesions. Based on the score, it was anticipated that 81 would have some grade of CIN, REIDS index (5 or more) 3 out of 167 had CIN I, 77 would have high grade lesions.

Table 8: Complaints among study groups

| Complaints | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Heavy menstrual bleeding | 7 | 4.19 |
| Intermenstrual bleeding | 9 | 5.38 |
| Postcoital bleeding | 17 | 10.17 |
| Postmenopausal bleeding | 47 | 28.14 |
| White discharge | 69 | 41.31 |
| Lower abdominal pain | 18 | 10.77 |
| Total | 167 | 100 |

Among the complaints, most of women 69 out of 167 had complaints of white discharge per vaginum, 47 had postmenopausal bleeding.

Table 9: Association of cytology with age among study groups

| Age | Chronic Cervicitis | | CIN I | | CIN II | | CIN III | | Carcinoma | | Total |
|----------------|--------------------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | |
| 20-30 Years | 10 | 76.9% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 15.4% | 1 | 7.7% | 0 | 0% | 13 |
| 31-40 Years | 35 | 77.8% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 6.7% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 15.6% | 45 |
| 41-50 Years | 27 | 46.6% | 2 | 3.4% | 3 | 5.2% | 5 | 8.6% | 21 | 36.2% | 58 |
| 51-60 Years | 14 | 27.5% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 17.6% | 27 | 52.9% | 51 |
| Total | 86 | 51.5% | 3 | 1.8% | 8 | 4.8% | 15 | 9% | 55 | 32.9% | 167 |
| P Value-0.0005 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 10: Association of cytology with RCI among study groups

| RCI | Chronic Cervicitis | | CIN I | | CIN II | | CIN III | | Carcinoma | | Total |
|----------------|--------------------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | |
| 1-2 | 44 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 44 |
| 3-4 | 38 | 97.4% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2.6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 39 |
| 5-8 | 4 | 4.8% | 3 | 3.6% | 7 | 8.3% | 15 | 17.85% | 55 | 65.47% | 84 |
| Total | 86 | 51.5% | 3 | 1.8% | 8 | 4.8% | 15 | 9% | 55 | 32.9% | 167 |
| P Value-0.0005 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 11: Association of cytology with SWEDE score among study groups

| Swede | Chronic Cervicitis | | CIN I | | CIN II | | CIN III | | Carcinoma | | Total |
|-------|--------------------|---|-------|---|--------|---|---------|---|-----------|---|-------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | No | % | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|-------|---|------|---|-------|----|-----|----|-------|-----|
| 0-4 | 77 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 77 |
| 5-6 | 8 | 72.7% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 27.3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 |
| 7-10 | 1 | 1.3% | 3 | 3.8% | 5 | 6.3% | 15 | 19% | 55 | 69.6% | 79 |
| TOTAL | 86 | 51.5% | 3 | 1.8% | 8 | 4.8% | 15 | 9% | 55 | 32.9% | 167 |
| P VALUE-0.0005 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 12: Correlations

| RCI Score | | Swede's Score | |
|-----------|---------|---------------|--|
| RCI Score | R Value | .966** | |
| | P Value | .0005 | |
| | N | 167 | |

Table 13: ROC Area Under the Curve

| Test Result Variable(s) | Area | Std. Error ^a | p-value | 95% C.I | |
|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | | | | LB | UB |
| Swede's Score >= 5 | .957 | .017 | .0005 | .922 | .991 |
| Swede's Score >= 8 | .948 | .021 | .0005 | .907 | .989 |

The test result variable(s): Swede's Score >= 5, Swede's Score >= 8 has at least one tie between the positive actual state group and the negative actual state group. Statistics may be biased.

Table 14: Swede Score >=5 With RCI Score

| | | RCI Score | | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | | Malignant | Benign | |
| Swede Score >=5 | Malignant | 75 | 8 | 83 |
| | Benign | 0 | 84 | 84 |
| Total | | 75 | 92 | 167 |

| | |
|-------------|------|
| Sensitivity | 100 |
| Specificity | 91.3 |
| PPV | 90.4 |
| NPV | 100 |
| Accuracy | 95.2 |

Table 15: Swede Score >=8 with RCI Score

| | | RCI Score | | Total |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | | Malignant | Benign | |
| Swede Score | Malignant | 68 | 1 | 69 |

| | | | | |
|----------|--------|----|----|-----|
| ≥ 8 | Benign | 7 | 91 | 98 |
| Total | | 75 | 92 | 167 |

| | |
|-------------|------|
| Sensitivity | 90.7 |
| Specificity | 98.9 |
| PPV | 98.6 |
| NPV | 92.9 |
| Accuracy | 95.2 |

The present study showed that the specificity for a total score of 8 or more was 98.9 % and sensitivity was 90.7% for CIN 2+ lesions which was better than the results when compared to the scores when reduced to 5, sensitivity was 100% while the specificity became 91.3% which is at the expense of specificity. The association of SWEDE score and the cytology was statistically significant (0.0005)

The present study compared the performance of swede score with REIDS index with sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and accuracy. A good and graded agreement between REIDS and swede score with histopathology was documented. There was a good correlation between REIDS and swede score and the correlation coefficient was 0.966

Discussion

Cervical cancer which was the second most common cancer worldwide. Since it has the larger preinvasive stage, it is very easy to screen and treat the patient before progression.

The present study deals with the screening of cervical cancer which included a sample of 167 women with abnormal symptoms like excessive white discharge, postmenopausal bleeding, postcoital bleeding, intermenstrual bleeding, women with abnormal VIA, VILI and pap smear.

Further proceeded with the help of colposcopy and biopsy in women with above mentioned symptoms and abnormal pap smear or VIA/VILI. Based on the results obtained, we calculated the sensitivity and specificity of two scores (reids and swede) and compared it with biopsy.

Regarding age distribution, majority were women more than the age of 40(109/167).Carcinoma cervix was prevalent in women more than 40 years.

Based on the clinical complaints, majority of patients had excessive white discharge (69/167) followed by postmenopausal bleeding (47/167).

Based on the theory, postmenopausal bleeding is highly predictive and pointing towards cancer cervix. Postcoital bleeding also noted in 10 among 16.

The present study revealed that the specificity of 98.9% when swede score was more than or equal to 8.No CIN 2+ lesions were noted when the swede score was less than 5.But when the score was reduced to 5, sensitivity reaches 100% at the specificity of 91.3% which was decreased. The negative predictive value was 100% when the score was reduced to 5.The association of swede score with cytology is statistically significant.

Conclusion

Thus, it was concluded that both scores performed well in this hospital based study on a selected population referred to colposcopic clinic. From the present study, it

is evident that SWEDE score of 8 or more has good specificity and can be used for performing direct excisional procedure as a “see and treat” method at this cutoff. Hence LESION SIZE parameter included in SWEDE SCORE act as a good predictor of high-grade lesions.

This may be the preferred method for the management of high grade CIN because it reduces the number of visits and failure to receive treatment.

The main strength of the study is that biopsies were taken for all subjects irrespective of the presence or absence of lesion in colposcopy, eliminating the verification bias.

References

1. Dickinson JE, Paech MJ, McDonald SJ, Evans SF. Maternal satisfaction with childbirth and intrapartum analgesia in nulliparous labour. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynecol. 2003;43:463–8.
2. Hasegawa J, Farina A, Turchi G, Hasegawa Y, Zanello M, Baroncini S. Effects of epidural analgesia on labor length, instrumental delivery, and neonatal short-term outcome. J Anesth. 2013;27:43–7.
3. Rimaitis K, Klimenko O, Rimaitis M, Morkūnaitė A, Macas A. Labor epidural analgesia and the incidence of instrumental assisted delivery. Medicina. 2015;51(2):76–80
4. Antonakou A, Papoutsis D. The effect of epidural analgesia on the delivery outcome of induced labor: a retrospective case series. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2016;2016:5740534.8.
5. Sawant V, Kumbhar A (2018) Labour with low dose epidural analgesia: maternal perception and fetal outcome. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 7: 689-693.
6. Agrawal D, Makhija B, Arora M, Haritwal A, Gurha P. The effect of epidural analgesia on labour, mode of delivery and neonatal outcome in nullipara of India, 2011–2014. J Clin Diagn Res JCDR. 2014;8(10):OC03.
7. Vricella LK, Louis JM, Mercer BM, et al. Impact of morbid obesity on epidural anesthesia complications in labor. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;205:370.e1-6
8. Silva, Yasmin Aparecida Pires, Araújo, Fernanda Gontijo, Amorim, Torcata, FranciscaMartins, Eunice, & Felisbino-Mendes, Mariana Santos. (2020). Obstetric analgesia in labor and its association with neonatal outcomes. Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem, 73(2), e20180757. Epub March 30, 2020
9. Salameh, K. M., Anvar Paraparambil, V., Sarfrazul, A., Lina Hussain, H., Sajid Thyvilayil, S., & Samer Mahmoud, A. (2020). Effects of Labor Epidural Analgesia on Short Term Neonatal Morbidity. International journal of women's health, 12, 59–70. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S228738>
10. Burnstein R, Buddand R, Pickett JA. A survey of epidural analgesia for labour in the United Kingdom. Anaesthesia 1999; 54:634-4A)
11. Davies MW, Harrison IC, Ryan TDR. Current practice of epidural analgesia during normal labour. A survey of maternity units in the United Kingdom. Anaesthesia 1993;48:63-5
12. Jackson A, Henry R, Avery N, et al. Informed consent for labour epidurals: What labouring women want to know Can J Anaesth 2000; 47:1068-73.
13. Chestnut DH, Weiner CP. Monitoring maternal heart rate during epidural injection of a test dose containing epinephrine (letter). Anesthesiology 1986; 64:839-40.

14. (a) Collins ICM, Bevan DR, Beard RW. Fluid loading to reduce abnormalities of fetal heart rate and maternal hypotension during epidural analgesia in labour. *Br Med J* 1978; 2:460-1. (b) Ramanathan S, Masih A, Rock I, et al. Maternal and fetal effects of prophylactic hydration with crystalloids or colloids before epidural anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1983; 62:673-8.
15. Zamora JE, Rosaeg OP, Lindsay MP, et al. Haemodynamic consequences and uterine contractions following 0.5 or 1.0 litre crystalloid infusion before obstetric epidural analgesia. *Can J Anaesth* 1996
16. Kinsella SM, Pirlet M, Mills MS, et al. Randomized study of intravenous fluid preload before epidural analgesia during labour. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 85:311-3..
17. Ellington C, Katz VL, Watson WJ, et al. The effect of lateral tilt on maternal and fetal hemodynamic variables. *Obstet Gynecol* 1991; 77:201-3.
18. Meister et al; A Comparison of Epidural Analgesia with 0.125% Ropivacaine with Fentanyl Versus 0.125% Bupivacaine with Fentanyl during Labor. *AJA* 2002; (18):267-271.
19. M. Dresner Ropivacaine 0.2% versus bupivacaine 0.1% with fentanyl: a double blind comparison for analgesia during labour ; *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 85: 826—9.
20. Lottan M, Mashlach R, Namestnikov M. Hematologic diseases. In: Birnbach DJ, Gatt SP, Datta S, editors. *Textbook of Obstetric Anesthesia*, New York: Churchill Livingstone; 2000:586-596.
21. Viegas OA, Khaw B, Ratnam SS. Tramadol in labour in primiparous patients. A prospective comparative clinical trial ; *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1993;49(3):131-5.
22. Bofill JA, Vincent R.D, Ross E L. Nulliparous active labour, epidural analgesia and caesarian delivery for dystocia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 177:1465-70.
23. Morishima HO, Pederson H, Finster M. The influence of maternal psychological stress on the fetus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1978;131:286-90.
24. Beilin Y. Advances in labor analgesia. *Mt Sinai J Med*. 2002;;69(12):38-44.
25. Professor A Rudra. Pain Relief In Labour - Review Article. *Update in Anaesthesia* 2004; 18 :6-11
26. Haggard HW. Haggard: Devils, Drugs, and Doctors: The Story of the Science of Healing from Medicine-Man to Doctor. 1st edition. New York: Harper & Brothers, 1929:31
27. Simpson WG, ed. *The Works of Sir JY Simpson*, Vol II: Anaesthesia, Edinburgh: Adam and Charles Black; 1871:199-200.
28. M. Rosen, W.W. Mushin, P. L. Jones. Field Trial of Methoxyflurane, Nitrous Oxide, and Trichloroethylene as Obstetric Analgesics. *Br Med J*. August 1969 ; 3(5665): 263—267.
29. Moya F, James L.S. Medical Hypnosis for Obstetrics. *Journal of American medical association* December 17, 1960; 174:2026-2032.
30. Heyns O.S. Abdominal decompression in the first stage of labour. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the British Empire* 1959; 66:22-24.
31. Miller —Jones C M H. Transcutaneous Nerve Stimulation in Labour. *Anaesthesia* 1980 ; 35:372-5
32. Wallis L, Shnider S M. An Evaluation of Acupuncture Analgesia in Obstetrics. *Anesthesiology* 1974 Dec;41(6):596-601.

33. Leavitt JW: Brought to Bed: Childbearing in America, 1750-1950. third ed New York, Oxford University Press, 1986.88
34. Kaufman M: American Medical Education: The Formative Years, 1765-1910. 1 st ed Westport CT: Greenwood Press; 1976.
35. Sheiner E. A comparison between the effectiveness of epidural analgesia and parenteral pethidine during labour. *Gynaecol Obstetr* 2000 feb 263(3):95-8
36. Akamatu TJ, Bonica JJ, Rehmet R et al. Experiences with the use of ketamine for parturition. Part I. Primary anesthesia for vaginal delivery. *Anesth Analg* 1974; 53:284-287.
37. Mc Elhatton PR. The effects of benzodiazepine use during pregnancy and lactation. *Reprod Toxicol* 1994; 8:461-475.
38. McAllister CB. Placental transfer and neonatal effects of diazepam when administered to women just before delivery. *Br J Anaesth* 1980; 52:423-427.
39. Shnider SM, Asling JH, Holl JW, et al. Paracervical block anesthesia in obstetrics: I. Fetal complications and neonatal morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1970; 107:619-625.
40. Mousa, W. F., Al-Metwalli, R., & Mostafa, M. (2012). Epidural analgesia during labor vs no analgesia: A comparative study. *Saudi journal of anaesthesia*, 6(1), 36-40.
41. Angeliki Antonakou and Dimitrios Papoutsis. The Effect of Epidural Analgesia on the Delivery Outcome of Induced Labour: A Retrospective Case Series. *Obstetrics and Gynecology International* Volume 2016, Article ID 5740534.
42. Penuela, I., Isasi-Nebreda, P., Almeida, H. et al. Epidural analgesia and its implications in the maternal health in a low parity community. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 19, 52 (2019).
43. Tanaka H, Kamiya C, Katsuragi S, et al. Effect of epidural anesthesia in labor; pregnancy with cardiovascular disease. *Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2018 Apr;57(2):190-193. DOI: 10.1016/j.tjog.2018.02.004.
44. Deshmukh, V. L., Ghosh, S. S., Yelikar, K. A., & Gadappa, S. N. (2018). Effects of Epidural Labour Analgesia in Mother and Foetus. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology of India*, 68(2), 111-116.
45. Vallur Swetha Reddy, U. S. Sabitha, L. Krishna, Vimala. The effects of epidural analgesia in normal labour. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 Sep;7(9):3485-3492
46. Reddy VS, Sabitha US, Krishna L, Vimala. The effects of epidural analgesia in normal labour. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol* 2018;7:3485-92.
47. Shital H Halvadia, Hardik B Halvadia, Rachit M Joshi, Devanshi P Upadhyaya. A Study of Fetomaternal Outcome Of Epidural Analgesia During Labour. *National Journal of Medical Research*. Volume 3, Issue 2, Apr – June 2013.
48. Anwar S, Anwar MW, Ahmad S. Effect Of Epidural Analgesia On Labor And Its Outcomes. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad*. 2015 Jan-Mar;27(1):146-50.